| Test | SPEEDLINER® Independent Verification | Scorpion Protective | Ultimate | Rhino Linings U.S.A., | SPEEDLINER® advantage compared |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Tensile Strength | 4700 psi Actual results | 2300 psi | 2200 psi | 1700-2900 psi | 62-176% |
| Tear Strength | 865 lbs/in Actual results | 357.1 psi | 430 psi | 140-150 lbs/in | 476-517% |
| Abrasion test | 4.4 mg loss Actual results | N.A. | N.A. | 10-15 mg loss | 127-240% |
| | per 1000 rev | | | Per 1000 rev | |
| Elongation | 570% Actual results | 453% | 330% | 325-400% | 42-75% stronger |
| Hardness | 92 Shore A | 88 Shore A | 80 - 90 Shore | 85-95 Shore A | None |
| Flammability | 0 burn rate Actual results | 1.1 in/min | N.A. | N.A. | |
| U.V. Strength | 80-89% gloss retention Actual results | | N.A. | N.A. | |
| Di-Electric Strength | 278 V/mil Actual results | | N.A. | N.A. | |
| | (+/-35) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Results taken from Scorpion Protective Coatings Inc.® own web site. 14/3/05 | Results taken from Ultimate Linings® own web site. 14/3/05 | *Please see notes below | |

* NOTES: For comparison purposes, we also show the physical properties of one of our competitors, Rhino Linings U.S.A., Inc. The Rhino numbers posted here are taken from Rhino Linings' own websites at www.rhinolinings.com and www.rhinoliningsindustrial.com on September 8, 2004. Specifically, we found their results posted at www.rhinolinings.com/realrhino/technical_specs.html and www.rhinoliningsindustrial.com/pdfs/Datasheets/Tuff.pdf.

Of note, there is great disparity of the TUFF STUFF® physical properties posted at the Rhino Linings main site and the industrial site. Tensile strength numbers, for example vary by more than 70%! Rhino Linings USA Inc. have been asked for clarification, however they have not yet communicated as to which numbers are